ZAMBIA INFORMATION COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY (ICT) JOURNAL

Volume 8 (Issue 1) (2024) Pages 70-79

Investigation of the suitability of existing Maize Plant Leaf Disease detection and classification approaches: Challenges and Open Issues

Prudence Kalunga,
Computer Science Department,
ZCAS University,
Lusaka, Zambia.
prudence.kalunga@zcasu.edu.zm

Douglas Kunda
Computer Science Department
ZCAS University
Lusaka, Zambia Country
douglas.kunda@zcasu.edu.zm

***Abstract*— Maize, a crucial staple crop in Zambia and many other regions, is seriously threatened by several leaf diseases, such as Gray Leaf Spot, Maize Streak Virus, and Northern Corn Leaf Blight. Early detection and accurate classification of these diseases are challenging due to the time-consuming and error-prone nature of traditional detection techniques, such as visual inspections by farmers or experts. In recent years, deep learning has shown promise as an automated method for identifying and categorizing plant diseases. This paper describes a deep learning-based framework for identifying leaf diseases in maize plants and identifies the main obstacles and unresolved problems in the field. The lack of large enough and diverse datasets is one of the main obstacles to using deep learning for maize disease diagnosis, particularly in certain regions such as Zambia. The usefulness of existing models is limited in real-world scenarios because they frequently fail to generalize across various environmental circumstances, such as variances in climate, illumination, and soil type. In addition, the class imbalance creates a big gap in datasets with overrepresentations of specific diseases, which skews model predictions. The lack of lightweight, deployable models appropriate for low-resource settings, including rural farms with limited access to high-end computing equipment, is another significant gap. Furthermore, deep learning models are frequently perceived as "black boxes," and because farmers and other agricultural specialists need explicable insights into disease forecasts, they are less likely to be adopted due to the lack of interpretability of the models. This paper addresses the requirement for ongoing model updates to deal with changing disease patterns and investigates the possibilities of domain adaptation and transfer learning approaches in enhancing model generalization across conditions and locations. The report concludes by urging a concentrated effort to incorporate regional farmers and agricultural stakeholders in the development process to guarantee that the solutions are workable, approachable, and contextually appropriate. Even though deep learning has a lot of promise to improve the detection of maize leaf disease, there are still several issues that need to be resolved to produce more scalable and successful solutions. This study identifies these gaps and makes recommendations for how to close them in the future to support food security and sustainable agricultural development in areas like Zambia.**

***Index Terms*—** Maize leaf disease, deep learning, classification, dataset diversity, model generalization

I. Introduction

Maize (Zea mays) is a staple food crop globally, providing sustenance and economic stability for millions [35]. However, its production is significantly threatened by various diseases that can lead to substantial yield losses [36]. Early and accurate disease detection is crucial for effective disease management and ensuring food security [37]. Traditional disease diagnosis methods, primarily reliant on expert knowledge and visual inspection, are time-consuming, labor-intensive, and prone to human error [38]. Recent advancements in computer vision and deep learning have shown promising potential for automated plant disease detection [39]. Specifically, deep learning-based approaches have demonstrated remarkable accuracy in classifying various plant diseases, including those affecting maize [32]. Despite the growing body of research in this area, several challenges and open issues persist. These include the limited availability of high-quality image datasets and the complexity of disease symptoms, the impact of environmental factors on disease manifestation, and the need for robust models that can generalize across different growing conditions [24][40].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section II explains the background of the study, and Section III examines literature related to identifying leaf plant diseases, especially maize plant leaf diseases. Section IV methodology used is explained. Section V addresses open issues. Section VI discusses the potential economic impact of agricultural technologies. Section VII gives the conclusion of the paper.

II.BACKGROUND

A vital staple crop in many nations, including Zambia, maize is susceptible to several diseases that substantially impact both its productivity and quality. Conventional disease detection techniques, such as professional eye inspection, take a lot of time, are subjective, and are frequently impractical for big farms. As a result, there is increasing interest in automated techniques, particularly those that use image-based methodologies, for identifying and categorizing diseases in the leaves of maize plants [42].

A kind of machine learning called deep learning has shown promise as a method for automatically identifying and categorizing plant diseases. The ability of Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) to extract hierarchical features from images has led to their widespread use. This capability makes them appropriate for identifying visual patterns in damaged maize leaves [41]. Deep learning algorithms can discern between different types of diseases as well as between healthy and diseased plants by learning intricate features from massive leaf picture collections. Scalability and accuracy are two key benefits of employing deep learning for maize leaf disease diagnosis are more effective than conventional diagnostic techniques because they can process thousands of images rapidly [32]. Furthermore, diseases can be classified into several groups using deep learning models, including major maize diseases like Northern Corn Leaf Blight, Maize Streak Virus, and Gray Leaf Spot [33].

Grey leaf Spot	Maize leaf Blight	Maize Streak Virus
		
Fungal	Fungal	Virus

Fig1: Plant Disease Classification

III.RELATED WORKS

A report from the United Nations states that “long-term changes in temperature and weather patterns are referred to as climate change [49]. These changes could be caused by natural processes, such as oscillations in the solar cycle. [49] reported that climate changes pose challenges and make it difficult to curb poverty, reduce food insecurity, and sustainably manage natural resources.

This has increased temperature, more frequent and violent weather events (such as floods and droughts), and more variable rainfall. Therefore, agriculture has significantly been impacted by climate change [49].

[49] their studies found that low soil fertility, low soil pH, weather changes, and excess rainfall are among the key factors that significantly affect crop growth therefore decreasing the yield. [18] further found that drought, floods, and diseases are also factors that cause low yield.

[50] in their studies proposed solutions to improve yield. A technique for reforming and reorienting agricultural systems to support food security considering the emerging realities of climate change is known as climate-smart agriculture (CSM) [50]. It encourages farmers, researchers, the commercial sector, civic society, and politicians to take transdisciplinary steps toward climate-resilient paths [51]. Reduced use of chemicals like pesticides and fertilizers will reduce greenhouse gas emissions while also safeguarding the ecosystem. By optimizing irrigation performance and water management, water availability is ensured [51].

In recent years, there has been a successful application of deep learning models represented by convolutional neural networks (CNNs) in many fields of computer vision examples being, traffic detection [45], medical Image Recognition [46], Scenario text detection [10], expression recognition [47], face Recognition [48]. Stack de-noising autoencoder (SDAE), deep Boltzmann machine (DBM), deep belief network (DBN), and deep convolutional neural network (CNN) are some of the well-known deep neural network models that have been created as of late [17]. Many studies have utilized computer vision technologies to automate plant disease detection and classification using leaf images. These techniques include image preprocessing, segmentation, and feature extraction to differentiate infected regions from non-infected ones [14][43][44]

[20] found that most recent studies focus on basic background maize leaf or other crop disease recognition, and in actual field situations, the performance of trained models degrades due to substantial interference from background noise. [21] [22] [23] say that the public dataset ImageNet serves as the main testing ground for popular novel deep learning image recognition algorithms (CNNs), and its images differ from fine-grained photos of crop disease. Numerous studies on neural network visualization have shown that those built CNNs mostly concentrate on patterns of objects in images (for example, profile features of dogs or cats), and that these pattern features are mirrored in feature maps of convolutional output.

Contrarily, fine-grained crop disease lesions are typically similar and discrete on the leaf surface, as a result, CNNs may not be fully adapted to fine-grained maize leaf disease image classification tasks and will not perform any better even when the network layers are stacked, and model parameters are increased. Designing rational models for activities is crucial and required. As a result, more rational models and computational methods are needed for fine-grained maize disease identification in complex background field situations [24][25].

Plant disease classification results are shown by [29], the machine learning technique used was unable to perform better on samples with significant brightness changes. A method for the identification and categorization of plant diseases based on hand-coded feature extraction was carried out by [28][29] and provides results on improved classification accuracy; however, these results are based on a small dataset. It is clear from this that while these works are easy to use, they require a large amount of training data and heavily rely on human experience. Furthermore, these methods are not resistant to the wide range of leaf plant diseases' sizes, colors, and shapes. There is a need to evaluate large datasets and diverse corpuses as evaluation on other datasets is difficult. [24] classification of maize plant diseases was carried out by using a genetic approach to automatically modify the SVM classifier's kernel technique and penalty factor. Compared to a bespoke dataset, the work [24] achieves a classification score of 90.25%. Furthermore, by computing the pertinent indicators, a proficient diagnostic model was created [29] using an ML approach and the Bayesian technique to track and classify corn plant diseases. 90% accuracy is attained by the study when compared to a bespoke dataset. A segmentation-based approach was utilized by [28] to classify maize diseases. For this reason, a segmentation method was initially used to pinpoint the area of interest. Subsequently, the disease area's textural description was used to extract the main points. Ultimately, the classification task was completed by classifying the provided data into five related groups using the KNN classifier. On a customized dataset, the work [28] reports an average accuracy value of 90.30%. An adaptive weighted multi-classifier fusion method was presented by the authors in [31] to identify the different types of abnormalities in maize leaves. The study groups the provided examples into seven classes that are connected. Using a customized dataset, the approach [31] achieves an accuracy value of 94.71%. Another study [32] classified the various maize leaf diseases using a bespoke dataset and an SVM classifier with an average accuracy score of 83.2%. This systematic literature review aims to comprehensively examine existing research on maize leaf disease detection and classification using deep learning. By identifying the current state-of-the-art challenges, open issues, and research gaps, this study seeks to contribute to the development of effective and reliable disease detection frameworks.

Therefore, this paper addresses the following research questions.

RQ1: What are the key challenges in developing a deep learning-based framework for detecting and classifying maize plant leaf diseases in diverse environmental conditions?

RQ2: What techniques can be applied to address the class imbalance issue in maize disease datasets for more accurate classification?

RQ3: Which methods work best for creating deep learning models that are both scalable and affordable to detect maize diseases on low-resource devices (e.g. drones or smartphones) in rural farming areas?

RQ4: How can differences in noise, environmental conditions, and image quality affect how well deep learning models detect diseases in maize leaves, and what steps may be taken to address these issues?

Table 1: Recent surveys on current plant disease detection and classification

Methodology	Techniques	Scope	Reference
Machine Learning	Support Vector Machines (SVM)Classifier, Logistic Regression (LR)	Detection and classification of plant disease	[2], [3],[9]
Vision-based AI	Convolutional Neural Networks	Plant disease detection and classification	[2],[3],[9]
Deep learning	Cognitive CNNs, Transfer learning	Plant disease detection and classification	[2],[8]
Computer Vision and Image Processing Techniques	image preprocessing, segmentation, and feature extraction	Plant disease detection and classification	[1], [5], [6],[7]

IV. METHODOLOGY

A. Search Strategy

To conduct a comprehensive review of the literature on maize leaf disease detection and classification using deep learning, a systematic search strategy was employed. A detailed protocol was developed to guide the search process, including the identification of relevant databases, search terms, and inclusion/exclusion criteria. The following databases were systematically searched: Science Direct, Scopus, IEEE Xplore, and Google Scholar which yielded results.

Table 2: Electronic data sources

Data Sources	Research Results
Science Direct	46
Scopus	30
IEEE Xplore	25
Google Scholar	50
Total	201

Search terms included combinations of keywords such as "maize leaf disease," "plant disease detection," "image processing," "deep learning," "convolutional neural networks," "Classification," and "challenges."

The inclusion criteria for studies were:

- Original research articles
- Focus on maize leaf disease detection and classification
- Utilization of deep learning techniques
- Published in peer-reviewed journals
- Total number of Papers reviewed **36**.

Exclusion criteria included:

- Review articles, conference papers, and book chapters
- Studies not focusing on maize leaf disease
- Studies not employing deep learning methods

B. Data Extraction and Quality Assessment

Relevant studies identified through the search process were subjected to a rigorous data extraction process. A standardized data extraction form was developed to collect information on study characteristics, methodology, datasets, performance metrics, and reported results. The quality of the included studies was assessed using a predefined quality assessment tool. This tool evaluated various aspects of study design, methodology, and reporting, including sample size, data collection methods, data analysis techniques, and reporting of results.

C. Data Synthesis and Analysis

Table: 3 Comparison of various existing techniques

Author	Algorithm	Dataset	Accuracy	Focus
[24]	SVM	Custom	90.25%	To categorize maize diseases

[34]	SVM	Plant Village	83.70%	Forecast and categorize maize leaf disease
[31]	SVM	Custom	90.74	To classify into seven related classes
[28]	Bayesian	Bespok e	90%	To categorize corn plant disorders
[24]	KNN	Custom	90.30	To categorize corn into five related categories
[32]	SVM	Custom	83.2	To classify numerous corn diseases

Table 4: Overview of Studies on Deep Learning Applications

Author	Deep Learning Models	Key findings
[25]	ResNet50	High Accuracy in classifying maize diseases
[19]	MaizeNet	High Accuracy for locating and classifying maize leaf diseases
[49]	LeNet	Improved maize leaf classification
[49]	Cifar10	Shown improvement in training efficiency
[21]	Yolov8n	Demonstrated superior performance in real-world situations
[17]	GLS-net	Demonstrated superior performance in real-world situations
[24]	Google LeNet	Shown improvement in training efficiency

D: Challenges of Maize Plant Leaf Detection and Classification

Recent research has shown several difficulties in applying deep learning to detect leaf diseases in maize plants. The following are significant findings from the literature:

1. Comprehensive Datasets, Data Quality, and Availability

The limited availability of large, high-quality, and diverse datasets hampers the training of robust models. The diversity and quality of training datasets are critical to the success of deep learning models. Many existing datasets are small and laboratory-based, making them impractical for real-world applications [2][3][4][10]. Insufficient representation of various illness stages or kinds in the datasets can be problematic. Most existing datasets for maize leaf diseases are limited in size, diversity, and quality, impacting model performance and generalization ability.

2. Variability in Model Performance/Model Generalization and Adaptability.

Current models often struggle to generalize across different crops and diseases, especially when encountering unseen disease categories [11][12]. Static learning settings led to knowledge degradation, where new knowledge overrides old knowledge, reducing model adaptability [12]. The accuracy rates of illness diagnosis produced by various deep learning models differ. Due to the large class imbalance observed in many maize disease datasets, some illnesses are significantly overrepresented while others are underrepresented therefore biased models are produced [22].

3. Disease Localization and Classification

Computational requirements are high for training and deploying deep learning models. This limits their use on small devices and in resource-constrained environments [13].

4. Computational Resources and Efficiency

Accurate localization of disease symptoms within images remains a bottleneck, affecting the overall detection accuracy [2][14]. There is a need for models with fewer parameters that can be implemented on small devices while maintaining high accuracy [2].

5. Problems with Feature Extraction

It can be difficult to accurately select pertinent traits for disease identification because it needs strong algorithms to distinguish between healthy and unhealthy leaves in a variety of environmental circumstances [15].

6. Dynamic Agricultural Conditions

Models that can adjust to shifting agricultural conditions are necessary because environmental factors like weather variations might affect how diseases emerge and are detected [20].

Even though maize leaf disease detection is greatly improved by deep learning, issues such as model variability, complicated feature extraction, and the impact of environmental factors still need to be resolved to raise detection reliability and accuracy levels overall.

Table 5: Challenges of Maize Plant Leaf Detection and Classification

Author	Challenge
[20]	Dynamic Agricultural Conditions:
[15]	Problems with Feature Extraction
[2]	Computational Resources and Efficiency
[13]	Disease Localization and Classification
[21]	Variability in Model Performance/Model Generalization and Adaptability.
[31]	Comprehensive Datasets, Data Quality, and Availability;

E. Proposed Solutions and how they can be implemented

1. Deep Learning Models for Improved Accuracy

To enhance the accuracy of deep learning models, several strategies can be employed. One effective approach is model optimization, which includes techniques such as model pruning, quantization, and knowledge distillation. These methods help in reducing the model complexity while maintaining or even improving accuracy. For instance, model pruning removes redundant neurons, quantization reduces the precision of the weights, and knowledge distillation transfers knowledge from a large model to a smaller one, thereby improving efficiency without sacrificing performance1.

Several studies have proposed deep learning models like CNN's, Faster-RCNN, and modified architectures (e.g., ResNet-50, LeNet) to enhance the accuracy of disease detection and classification in maize leaves, achieving high accuracy rates in controlled datasets [19][24][26][27].

Researchers have developed models that perform well under complex conditions, such as mixed disease fields and varying backgrounds. Techniques like background subtraction, multi-scale feature fusion, and the use of RGB-D cameras have been employed to improve model robustness and generalizability [17][19].

2. Mobile and Real-Time Applications

Deploying deep learning models in mobile and real-time applications requires addressing the challenges of high computational demands and latency constraints. Techniques such as model pruning, quantization, and the use of efficient neural architectures like MobileNet and InceptionV3 can significantly reduce inference time and computational load. For example, InceptionV3 and MobileNet have been shown to balance accuracy and loading time effectively, making them suitable for edge computing environments [59]. Additionally, hyperparameter tuning and CPU optimization can further accelerate the training process, making these models viable for real-time applications.

Integrating deep learning models into mobile applications has been proposed to provide real-time disease detection and classification, making the technology accessible to farmers in the field. These applications can quickly process images and provide immediate feedback [21][23]

3. Data Augmentation and Preprocessing

To address the issue of limited data, data augmentation techniques and preprocessing steps such as segmentation and hyperspectral data have been utilized. These methods help enhance the model's ability to generalize from limited datasets [17][18]. Data augmentation is crucial for improving the generalization capability of deep learning models, especially when dealing with limited datasets. Techniques such as rotation, flipping, cropping, scaling, and adding noise can artificially increase the size of the training set, thereby reducing overfitting and improving model accuracy [18]. For time series data, augmentation methods like time warping, cropping, and jittering can enhance the performance of models in tasks such as human activity recognition and anomaly detection [17] in the context of text data, augmentation strategies like synonym replacement, random insertion, and back-translation can help in improving the robustness of NLP models [22].

4. Model Efficiency and Parameter Optimization

Optimizing model efficiency involves fine-tuning hyperparameters and employing techniques like knowledge distillation and model pruning. Hyperparameter tuning can be automated using grid search or random search methods to find the optimal set of parameters that maximize model performance. Knowledge distillation, where a smaller model is trained to mimic a larger, more complex model, can also enhance efficiency by reducing the computational load while maintaining high accuracy. Additionally, using efficient neural architectures and optimizing the deployment environment (e.g., edge devices) can further improve model efficiency3.

Improved models like GoogLeNet and Cifar10 have been optimized by adjusting parameters, changing pooling combinations, and adding dropout operations to reduce the number of parameters and improve training efficiency [24].

V. OPEN ISSUES

Detecting and classifying maize plant leaf diseases using deep learning is a critical area of research due to its potential to improve crop yields and food security. Despite significant advancements, several open issues remain in developing effective frameworks for this purpose.

A. Diversity and Quality Data

Form alliances with academic institutions and agricultural research centers across the globe to build a more comprehensive, high-quality dataset that encompasses a range of maize diseases in diverse settings [16][17].

B. Model Generalization

Models trained on idealized datasets (e.g., PlantVillage) perform poorly on real-world field images due to differences in background and disease presentation [17]. There is a need for models that can generalize well across different environments and conditions [17][18].

C. Segmentation and Localization

Accurate segmentation of disease spots is crucial but often requires manual intervention, preventing full automation [16]. Improved methods for localizing and classifying disease spots in complex backgrounds are needed [19]. Solutions that are both affordable and scalable are needed. Creating lightweight deep-learning models that can operate well on inexpensive gadgets like smartphones or drones, which can be used in remote farming areas.

D. Model Efficiency and Complexity

High-accuracy models often come with increased computational complexity and require high-speed computation, which may not be feasible in all settings [19][20]. There is a need for models that balance accuracy with computational efficiency, especially for mobile and real-time applications [21].

E.Disease Identification in Mixed Conditions

Identifying diseases in mixed infection scenarios remains challenging. Models need to be robust enough to handle multiple diseases affecting the same leaf [17][18]. To enhance model performance when applied to novel or underrepresented diseases, further study is required on transfer learning and domain adaptation strategies.

F. Practical Implementation

Embedding high-accuracy models into practical applications, such as mobile apps, requires further development to ensure real-time performance and user accessibility [18][21]. Figuring out how deep learning models in smallholder farms will affect society and making sure AI is used ethically in agriculture.

VI.GAPS IDENTIFIED IN THE LITERATURE

A.Complex Backgrounds and Real-World Conditions/Deployment

Many automated solutions struggle with real-world conditions such as noise, cluttered backgrounds, and blurring, which complicate the recognition process [17][18]. There is a deficiency in the conversion of scholarly discoveries into useful instruments for farmers. Numerous developed frameworks have unintuitive interfaces and fail to consider the real-world limitations that farmers must deal with, like cost and internet access [30].

B. Data Diversity and Quality

A lot of research frequently uses datasets that are not diverse enough concerning geographic regions, environmental factors, or differences in maize plant diseases. This may restrict how broadly applicable the models are. [24] have drawn attention to the need for more extensive datasets that encompass a greater range of maize diseases and growth environments.

C. Interpretability and Visualization of the Model

Deep learning models are frequently referred to as "black boxes," which implies that it is difficult to understand how they make decisions. This can pose a serious problem in agricultural settings since farmers' trust and adoption of the model depend on their ability to comprehend its logic. Deep learning models often lack interpretability, making it difficult for users to understand the decision-making process [25].

D.Scalability and Field Performance

Although many models work well in benchmark datasets or controlled situations, little is known about how well they function in real-world settings when variables like illumination, occlusion, and contextual context change [18]. Many existing models are computationally intensive and not suitable for real-time applications or deployment on mobile devices. There is a need for models that can handle diverse conditions and provide reliable results across different environments [18][22].

E. Integration with Agricultural Practices

Current agricultural practices and existing frameworks frequently cannot be seamlessly integrated.

Research on the integration of these models into current agricultural management methods or monitoring systems is. needed.

Most studies focus on a limited number of disease types, which restricts the applicability of the models in diverse agricultural settings. There is a need for a comprehensive datasets and models that can classify a broader range of diseases [23][24].

F. Robustness to Noise and Variability

When faced with noise, such as deteriorating image quality, changes in the conditions during image acquisition, or damage to leaves beyond the symptoms of an illness, many models find robustness must be achieved. Traditional methods often fail to efficiently identify disease-related phenotypes in the actual field it is difficult to remain robust. For deployments to be useful, environments due to the complexity of the background.

Pre-segmentation models tend to overestimate accuracy, while post-segmentation models, although more practical, still face challenges in robustness and prediction time [18].

VI POTENTIAL ECONOMIC IMPACT OF AGRICULTURAL TECHNOLOGIES

The adoption of agricultural technologies in low-income, agriculture-dependent regions has the potential to significantly enhance household income, productivity, and sustainability.

A. Increased Household Income

The adoption of improved agricultural technologies can significantly impact household income in low-income, agriculture-dependent regions. For instance, in rural Mozambique, the use of improved maize seeds and tractors was found to significantly increase household income for those with better market access, despite a widespread drought [52]. Similarly, in the Amhara region of Ethiopia, the adoption of multiple agricultural technologies was shown to increase consumption expenditure and reduce poverty, with a higher impact observed when technologies were adopted in packages rather than in isolation [53].

B. Enhanced Productivity

Technological advancements in agriculture can lead to substantial improvements in productivity. For example, the adoption of drought-tolerant maize varieties in Ghana resulted in a more than 150% increase in yield, highlighting the potential of climate-smart agricultural technologies to enhance productivity and commercialization intensity [54]. Additionally, the use of low-carbon technologies in Jiangxi Province, China, significantly improved the income levels of large-scale farmers by enhancing productivity through various methods such as conservation tillage and efficient irrigation systems [55].

C. Market Access and Infrastructure

The economic benefits of agricultural technologies are often contingent on market access and infrastructure. In Mozambique, the positive impact of improved technologies on household income was more pronounced for households with better market access, underscoring the need for policymakers to address structural impediments such as inadequate road infrastructure [56]. This is echoed in the findings from Kenya, where access to extension services and group membership facilitated the adoption of modern agricultural technologies, leading to improved economic performance.[57]

D. Environmental and Sustainability Considerations

The adoption of green and low-carbon agricultural technologies not only promotes income growth but also aligns with environmental sustainability goals. In Guizhou Province, China, green and low-carbon agricultural production methods were positively correlated with household income levels, suggesting that sustainable practices can be economically beneficial [58]. However, the study also noted that the effectiveness of these technologies could be enhanced through increased fiscal and financial support, as well as improved education and skills training for farmers.

VII Conclusion

The analysis of the adequacy of current methods for identifying and categorizing diseases of the leaves of maize plants suggests that deep learning models especially those that handle complicated backgrounds and incorporate attention mechanisms offer excellent accuracy and real-world applicability. For farmers, mobile-based systems and real-time applications further improve these technologies' usability and accessibility. While deep learning techniques typically perform better in a variety of difficult field circumstances, classic machine learning algorithms also exhibit promise. In future works, we shall address the challenges and gaps identified using a multi-faceted approach. Systematic strategies to tackle these issues are shown in the diagram below:

Table 6: Future Work to Address Challenges and Gaps

Issue	Solution
Dynamic Agricultural Conditions	Adaptive Models Integration with Crop Models
Problems with Feature Extraction	Advanced Feature Extraction Techniques and Multimodal Data Fusion
Computational Resources and Efficiency	Optimized Algorithms Scalable Architectures
Disease Localization and Classification	Enhanced Detection Models and Custom Datasets:
Variability in Model Performance/Model Generalization and Adaptability	Robust Evaluation Frameworks and Ensemble Methods
Comprehensive Datasets, Data Quality, and Availability	Data Collection and Open Data Initiatives.
Real-World Testing	Conduct extensive field testing to validate models under real-world conditions and complex backgrounds Robust Pre-processing.
Data Diversity and Quality	Diverse Data Sources Quality Control
Interpretability and Visualization of the Model	Explainable AI Visualization Tool
Scalability and Field Performance	Field-Ready Solutions: Performance Metrics.
Integration with Agricultural Practices	Decision Support Systems User Training

This paper suggests that deep learning models, particularly those optimized for real-world conditions and integrated into mobile applications, offer promising solutions for the detection and classification of maize plant leaf diseases. Techniques such as background subtraction, multi-scale feature fusion, and data augmentation significantly enhance model robustness and accuracy. These advancements provide practical tools for farmers, enabling timely and accurate disease management in maize crops.

References

[1] Kaur, S., Pandey, S., & Goel, S., 2019. Plants Disease Identification and Classification Through Leaf Images: A Survey. *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering*, 26, pp. 507-530. https://doi.org/10.1007/S11831-018-9255-6

[2] Shafik, W., Tufail, A., Namoun, A., Silva, L., & Apong, R., 2023. A Systematic Literature Review on Plant Disease Detection: Motivations, Classification Techniques, Datasets, Challenges, and Future Trends. *IEEE Access*, 11, pp. 59174-59203. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3284760.

[3], R., Mittal, U., Wadhawan, A., Singla, J., Jhanjhi, N., Ghoniem, R., Ray, S., & Abdelmaboud, A., 2023. Plant Disease Detection and Classification: A Systematic Literature Review. *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland)*, 23. https://doi.org/10.3390/s23104769.

[4] Liu, J., & Wang, X. (2021). Plant diseases and pests detection based on deep learning: a review. *Plant Methods*, 17. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13007-021-00722-9.

[5] Sabrol, H., & Kumar, S., 2015. Recent Studies of Image and Soft Computing Techniques for Plant Disease Recognition and Classification. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 126, pp. 44-55. https://doi.org/10.5120/ijca2015905982.

[6] Joshi, B., & Bhavsar, H., 2020. Plant leaf disease detection and control: A survey. *Journal of Information and Optimization Sciences*, 41, pp. 475 - 487. https://doi.org/10.1080/02522667.2020.1734295.

[7] Iqbal, Z., Khan, M., Sharif, M., Shah, J., Rehman, M., & Javed, K., 2018. An automated detection and classification of citrus plant diseases using image processing techniques: A review. *Comput. Electron. Agric.*, 153, pp. 12-32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2018.07.032.

[8] Joseph, R., C.A., N., & K., S., 2022. Plant Disease Detection: A Survey on Recent Deep Learning Technologies. *2022 Second International Conference on Next Generation Intelligent Systems (ICNGIS)*, pp. 1-6. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICNGIS54955.2022.10079766.

[9] Chapaneri, R., Desai, M., Goyal, A., Ghose, S., & Das, S., 2020. Plant Disease Detection: A Comprehensive Survey. *2020 3rd International Conference on Communication System, Computing and IT Applications (CSCITA)*, pp. 220-225. https://doi.org/10.1109/CSCITA47329.2020.9137779.

[10] Thapa, R., Zhang, K., Snavely, N., Belongie, S., & Khan, A. (2020). The Plant Pathology Challenge 2020 data set to classify foliar disease of apples. *Applications in Plant Sciences*, 8. https://doi.org/10.1002/aps3.11390.

[11] Lee, S., Goëau, H., Bonnet, P., & Joly, A., 2020. New perspectives on plant disease characterization based on deep learning. *Comput. Electron. Agric.*, 170, pp. 105220. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2020.105220.

[12] Dong, J., Fuentes, A., Yoon, S., Kim, H., Jeong, Y., & Park, D., 2023. A New Deep Learning-based Dynamic Paradigm Towards Open-World Plant Disease Detection. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 14. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.1243822.

[13] Saleem, M., Potgieter, J., & Arif, K. (2019). Plant Disease Detection and Classification by Deep Learning. *Plants*, 8. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants8110468

[14] Tai, A.P., Martin, M.V. and Heald, C.L., 2014. Threat to future global food security from climate change and ozone air pollution. *Nature Climate Change*, *4*(9), pp.817-821.

[15] Ramteke, P.L. and Wadnere, P., Plant Disease Detection and Classification Using Deep Learning.

[16] Barbedo, J. (2019). Plant disease identification from individual lesions and spots using deep learning. *Biosystems Engineering*. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIOSYSTEMSENG.2019.02.002.

[17] Craze, H., Pillay, N., Joubert, F., & Berger, D. (2022). Deep Learning Diagnostics of Gray Leaf Spot in Maize under Mixed Disease Field Conditions. *Plants*, 11. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11151942.

[18] Nan, F., Song, Y., Yu, X., Nie, C., Liu, Y., Bai, Y., Zou, D., Wang, C., Yin, D., Yang, W., & Jin, X., 2023. A novel method for maize leaf disease classification using the RGB-D post-segmentation image data. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 14. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.1268015.

[19] Masood, M., Nawaz, M., Nazir, T., Javed, A., Alkanhel, R., Elmannai, H., Dhahbi, S., & Bourouis, S., 2023. MaizeNet: A Deep Learning Approach for Effective Recognition of Maize Plant Leaf Diseases. *IEEE Access*, 11, pp. 52862-52876. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3280260.

[20] Setiawan, W., Rochman, E., Satoto, B., & Rachmad, A. (2022). Machine Learning and Deep Learning for Maize Leaf Disease Classification: A Review. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 2406. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/2406/1/012019.

[21] Khan, F., Zafar, N., Tahir, M., Aqib, M., Waheed, H., & Haroon, Z. (2023). A mobile-based system for maize plant leaf disease detection and classification using deep learning. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 14. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.1079366.

[22] Nourish, A., Batra, S., Sharoon, K., Sharma, R., & Sharma, M. (2023). A Study of Deep Learning based Techniques for the Detection of Maize Leaf Disease: A Short Review. *2023 7th International Conference on Intelligent Computing and Control Systems (ICICCS)*, 134-141. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICICCS56967.2023.10142559.

[23] Sibiya, M., & Sumbwanyambe, M. (2019). A Computational Procedure for the Recognition and Classification of Maize Leaf Diseases Out of Healthy Leaves Using Convolutional Neural Networks. *AgriEngineering*. https://doi.org/10.3390/AGRIENGINEERING1010009.

[24] Zhang, X., Qiao, Y., Meng, F., Fan, C., & Zhang, M., 2018. Identification of Maize Leaf Diseases Using Improved Deep Convolutional Neural Networks. *IEEE Access*, 6, pp. 30370-30377. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2844405.

[25] Yang, S., Xing, Z., Wang, H., Gao, X., Dong, X., Yao, Y., Zhang, R., Zhang, X., Li, S., Zhao, Y., & Liu, Z., 2023. Classification and localization of maize leaf spot disease based on

weakly supervised learning. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 14. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.1128399.
JK. Elissa, "Title of paper if known," unpublished.
[26] Priyadharshini, R., Arivazhagan, S., Arun, M., & Mirnalini, A., 2019. Maize leaf disease classification using deep convolutional neural networks. *Neural Computing and Applications*, pp. 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-019-04228-3.
[27] DeChant, C., Wiesner-Hanks, T., Chen, S., Stewart, E., Yosinski, J., Gore, M., Nelson, R., & Lipson, H., 2017. Automated Identification of Northern Leaf Blight-Infected Maize Plants from Field Imagery Using Deep Learning.. *Phytopathology*, 107 11, pp. 1426-1432 . https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-11-16-0417-R.
[28] Zhang, Y., Li, L., Song, W., & Zhang, L. (2020). Deep learning for plant disease detection: A review. Agricultural and Food Informatics, 6(1), 1-23.
[29] F. Ikorasaki and M. B. Akbar, ''Detecting corn plant disease with expert system using Bayes theorem method,'' in *Proc. 6th Int. Conf. Cyber IT Service Manage. (CITSM)*, Aug. 2018, pp. 1–3
[30] Sulaiman, A., Anand, V., Gupta, S., Alshahrani, H., Reshan, M.S.A., Rajab, A., Shaikh, A. and Azar, A.T., 2023. Sustainable apple disease management using an intelligent fine-tuned transfer learning-based model. *Sustainability*, *15*(17), p.13228
[31] L. Xu, X. Xu, M. Hu, R. Wang, C. Xie, and H. Chen, ''Corn leaf disease
identification based on multiple classifiers fusion,'' Trans. Chin. Soc.
Agricult. Eng., vol. 31, no. 14, pp. 194–201, 2015.
[32] Mohanty, S.N., Ghosh, H., Rahat, I.S. and Reddy, C.V.R., 2023. Advanced Deep Learning Models for Corn Leaf Disease Classification: A Field Study in Bangladesh. *Engineering Proceedings*, *59*(1), p.69.
[33] Zhou, Y., Jiang, S., Zhou, Y., & He, J. (2020). Transfer learning for maize leaf disease identification using improved deep convolutional neural network. *IoT and Cloud Computing Advances in Sensor Networks*, 1(1), 1-9.
[34] Gayathri Devi, K.; Balasubramanian, K.; Senthilkumar, C.; Ramya, K. Accurate Prediction and Classification of Corn Leaf Disease Using Adaptive Moment Estimation Optimizer in Deep Learning Networks. J. Electr. Eng. Technol. **2023**, 18, 637–649.
[35] FAO. (2021). The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2021. Rome: FAO.
[36] Li, Y., Zhang, C., & Song, Y. (2018). Maize diseases: a review. Agronomy, 8(1), 18.
[37] Sladojevic, S., Arsenovic, M., Lazarevic, A., & Cvitas, D. (2016). Deep neural networks: An overview. arXiv preprint arXiv:1602.07261.
[38] Amara, N. E., Boufarguine, A., Saidi, S., & Belaïd, A. (2017). Plant diseases detection using image processing and machine learning techniques: A review. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 133, 31-45.
[39] Brahimi, F., Benyettou, A., & Kamel, B. S. (2017). Plant diseases detection using image processing and deep learning techniques. International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, 8(5), 15-20.
[40] Palanisamy, V., Kumar, R., & Singh, D. (2019). A comprehensive review on plant disease detection using image processing techniques. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 158, 104814.
[41] LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., & Hinton, G. (2015). Deep learning. *Nature*, *521*(7553), 436-444.
[42] Ramcharan, A., Baranowski, K., McCloskey, P., Ahmed, B., Legg, J., & Hughes, D. P. (2017). Deep learning for image-based cassava disease detection. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *8*, 1852.
[43] Sanchez, P.A. and Swaminathan, M.S., 2005. Hunger in Africa: the link between unhealthy people and unhealthy soils. *The Lancet*, *365*(9457), pp.442-444.
[44] ITU, 2015, Minges, M., 2015. Exploring the relationship between broadband and economic growth.
[45] Joseph, R., C.A., N., & K., S., 2022. Plant Disease Detection: A Survey on Recent Deep Learning Technologies. *2022 Second International Conference on Next Generation Intelligent Systems (ICNGIS)*, pp. 1-6
[46] Chapaneri, R., Desai, M., Goyal, A., Ghose, S., & Das, S., 2020. Plant Disease Detection: A Comprehensive Survey. *2020 3rd International Conference on Communication System, Computing and IT Applications (CSCITA)*, pp. 220-225. https://doi.org/10.1109/CSCITA47329.2020.9137779.
[47] Ahmed, N., D. De and M. I. Hussain (2018). "Internet of Things (IoT) for Smart Precision Agriculture and Farming in Rural Areas" IEEE Internet of Things Journal 5 (6), 4890–4899.
[48] Alreshidi, E. (2019). "Smart Sustainable Agriculture (SSA) Solution Underpinned by Internet of Things (IoT) and Artificial Intelligence (AI)" International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications 10 (5), 93–102. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.1243822
[49] Richey B et al (2020) Real-time detection of maize crop disease via a deep learning-based smartphone app. in Real-Time Image Processing and Deep Learning 2020. International Society for Optics and Photonics
[50] Dr. Ovidiu Vermesan SINTEF, Norway, Dr. Peter FriessEU, Belgium, "Internet of Things: Converging Technologies for Smart Environments and Integrated Ecosystems", river publishers' series in communications, 2013
[51] Qian, X., Zhang, C., Chen, L., & Li, K., 2022. Deep Learning-Based Identification of Maize Leaf Diseases Is Improved by an Attention Mechanism: Self-Attention. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.864486.
[52] Cunguara, B., & Darnhofer, I., 2011. Assessing the impact of improved agricultural technologies on household income in rural Mozambique. *Food Policy*, 36, pp. 378-390. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOODPOL.2011.03.002.
[53] Huang, B., Kong, H., Yu, J., & Zhang, X., 2022. A Study on the Impact of Low-Carbon Technology Application in Agriculture on the Returns of Large-Scale Farmers. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191610177.
[54] Liang, Y., Pan, T., Cai, Y., Yu, J., & Choun, L., 2024. The impact of green and low carbon agricultural production on farmers' income in minority areas: a case study of Y Town, Zhijin County, Guizhou Province. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2024.1358471.
[55] Nnahiwe, P., Hejkrlik, J., & Bavorova, M., 2023. Adopting modern agricultural technologies and impact on economic

performance: evidence from cashew farmers in Kenya. *International Food and Agribusiness Management Review*. https://doi.org/10.22434/ifamr2021.0100.

[56] Martey, E., Etwire, P., & Kuwornu, J., 2020. Economic impacts of smallholder farmers' adoption of drought-tolerant maize varieties. *Land Use Policy*, 94, pp. 104524. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2020.104524.

[57] Zegeye, M., 2021. Adoption and Ex-post Impact of Agricultural Technologies on Rural Poverty: Evidence from Amhara Region, Ethiopia. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, 9. https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2021.1969759.

Cravero, A., Pardo, S., Sepúlveda, S., & Muñoz, L., 2022. Challenges to Use Machine Learning in Agricultural Big Data: A Systematic Literature Review. *Agronomy*. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy12030748.

[58] Zhang, L., Ding, G., Li, C., & Li, D., 2023. DCF-Yolov8: An Improved Algorithm for Aggregating Low-Level Features to Detect Agricultural Pests and Diseases. *Agronomy*. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy13082012.

[59] Sharma, S., Paranjape, V., & Hasan, Z., 2022. Optimizing Deep Learning Models for RealTime Applications: Techniques for Improving Inference Speed without Sacrificing Accuracy. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering*. https://doi.org/10.15680/ijircce.2022.1007116.